A COMPREHENSIVE testing program of conventional large mixed flow pumps typically used on cotton irrigation farms has found that these pumps, if correctly tuned, can operate at high efficiency levels with reduced energy costs and lower carbon emissions.

The work was carried out by engineers from the University of Southern Queensland’s National Centre for Engineering in Agriculture (NCEA) in Toowoomba in a project funded by the Commonwealth Department of Industry and Science and the Cotton Research and Development Corporation (CRDC).

NCEA water and irrigation specialist, Joseph Foley, said the aim was to test the large mixed flow pumps that dominated the irrigation industry to get a clear picture of how they were performing.

“Given our past work has shown that irrigation pumping is the largest energy consumption component on farm, we thought it wise to focus on the large 26-inch mixed flow pumps and to fathom how well this 50-year-old technology can operate,” he said.

“We initially thought they would not perform well below their curve, but our test results clearly indicate they can perform quite well, similar to the large axial flow pumps but at a fraction of the capital cost.”

Dr Foley said that in most of the situations they looked at, while the pumps had the potential to perform well they were typically being operated to the right of their curve at the low efficiency points in their range of capabilities.

He said significant savings were possible when the pumps were tested, their current performance analysed, and adjustments made to the pump speed, operating head and pump flowrate.
“In each of these cases we have been able to make recommendations that will drastically reduce the energy consumption for the volume pumped,” he said.

“In one instance we have been able to knock the energy consumption down by 46 per cent.”

Dr Foley said one of the most critical components to analyse around any pumping station was the Total Dynamic Head (TDH) at which the pump discharged its water flowrate.

“The Total Dynamic Head (TDH) is a measure of the energy per unit weight added by the pump to the water to overcome the static lift, the friction headloss and minor headlosses,” he said.

“The larger the TDH becomes, the higher the pumping costs per ML will be in any pump station.

“The static lift component of the TDH can’t be reduced at any one point in time, but the friction and minor loss components can be significantly reduced if the flowrate can be reduced, or if larger valves and fittings can be installed at the pump station.

“The minor headloss component of the TDH is something that can be reduced through an engineering re-design of the pump station pipework, so that it is no longer a major proportion of the pump’s TDH.”

In one of the tests on an electric 26-inch mixed flow pump, Dr Foley said it was found that the 4 metres of headloss could be reduced substantially by replacing the existing angled cut suction pipe entry and grate with a smooth bell-mouthed entry.

“This would reduce the TDH from 7 metres to 5.5 metres, reducing pumping costs by 21 per cent to $6.30 per Megalitre, from the current $8.04/ML,” he said.

Another recommendation to reduce pumping costs at that site was to bring into service an adjacent, unused tractor-PTO-driven 26-inch mixed flow pump, allowing two pumps to operate and pump speeds to be reduced substantially but maintain the delivery rate of 150 ML/day.

Dr Foley said by resetting each pump to deliver 80 ML/day, pump efficiency would improve from 75 per cent to 88 per cent, plus the slower water velocities would reduce headloss from 4 metres to 1.3 metres.

“The same total flow rate is provided, but at a drastically reduced pumping cost of $4.27/ML, down from $8.04/ML,” he said.

“This reduced the energy consumption from 29.5 kWh per ML to 15.6 kWh per ML, saving over $11,000 in a ‘typical’ season of pumping, and reduced emissions from 76.2 tonnes CO₂-e (CO2 equivalent) to 40.3 tonnes CO₂-e per season.”

In a separate test of a 20-inch diesel mixed flow pump, it was found the pump was operating more than 12 per cent below the manufacturer’s benchmark, and reducing the flowrate accordingly.

Diesel energy savings of over $1500 per season are expected with a new impeller in the pump, providing payback in 3 to 4 years, and reducing emissions by 4 tonnes of CO₂-e each season.
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One of the key recommendations was to reconfigure the pump’s drive to lower the speed, resulting in a 30 per cent reduction in pumping costs.

This could reduce the costs from $8.40/ML to $5.00/ML and about $10,000 in a typical season, but would mean reduction from 60ML per day down to 23ML per day.

With expected capital costs for a second pump installed being around $40,000, payback on pumping costs at the required flowrate are around 4 years, and would reduce emissions by nearly 27 tonnes of CO$_2$-e per year.

Funding from the Commonwealth Department of Industry and Science was made available under the Energy Efficiency Information Grants scheme to the Cotton Research and Development Corporation for engineers at the National Centre for Engineering in Agriculture to complete measurement and analysis on a number of large mixed pump stations on irrigated cotton farms.
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